



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,261	08/21/2003	Frank Liebenow	P1947US00	9525
24333	7590	05/16/2007		
GATEWAY, INC. ATTN: Patent Attorney 610 GATEWAY DRIVE MAIL DROP Y-04 N. SIOUX CITY, SD 57049			EXAMINER PRABHAKHER, PRITHAM DAVID	
			ART UNIT 2622	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 05/16/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p align="center">Office Action Summary</p>	Application No. 10/646,261	Applicant(s) LIEBENOW, FRANK	
	Examiner Pritham Prabhakher	Art Unit 2622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 March 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/21/2003 and 06/14/2006</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/12/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

1. Regarding claims 14 and 25, the applicant argues that the Niikawa et al. (US Pub No.: 2002/0171747A1) reference fails to disclose "a shortcut associated with a complete set of parameters" in Page 11 of the applicant's arguments. The examiner respectfully disagrees with this assertion. In the examiner's opinion, the Niikawa et al. reference discloses "assigning at least one shortcut to a unique set of operational parameters" as mentioned in the previous office action. Looking at Table 2 on Page 5 of Niikawa et al., the item column is viewed as a shortcut to a unique set of operational parameters (choices). Therefore, it is of the examiner's opinion that the Niikawa et al. reference still reads on the applicant's claims.

2. Also, the rejection of claims 14-20, 23-31 and 34-35 under 102(e) with the Niikawa et al. (US Pub No.: 2002/0171747A1) reference are withdrawn and rejected under 103(a) with the Niikawa et al. reference and further in view of Kawamura et al. (US Patent No.: 7092024B2). This is done because the scope of claims 14 and 25 has been changed with the addition of the line "suitable for capturing a digital image with...".

3. For the reasons discussed above, the rejection for claims 1-13, 21-22 and 32-33 are rejected as discussed in the previous office action.

Claim Objections

Claim 36 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The word "a" between the words "retrieved" and "set" should be removed.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12,14-20,23-31 and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niikawa et al. (US Pub No.: 2002/0171747A1) and further in view of Kawamura et al. (US Patent No.: 7092024B2)

*Regarding **Claim 1**, Niikawa et al. teach of a method of configuring a digital camera capable of capturing an image (The image capturing section 3 includes an image capturing circuit 302, **Paragraph 0032**), the method comprising:*

*providing more than one format selection (flash, shooting, image quality, resolution etc... in **Table 2 on Page 5 under Item and Figures 12-15B**) to be used in capturing the digital image, each format selection corresponding to a unique set of*

*parameters (The different parameters (choices) are shown in Table 2 on **Page 5** to the right of the Item column next to each format/item selection and under the column named Choices) for the capture of the digital image;*

*selecting one format selection (The different format (item) selections can be made by moving the crossed switch 35 up and down, **Paragraph 0109**); and*

*retrieving a set of parameters (choices) associated with the format selection (The choices can be made in association with the item/format selection by scrolling down to the respective item and pressing the R button on the crossed switch 35. This brings up a set of parameters associated with each format selection, **Paragraph 0110**).*

*However, Niikawa et al. do not specifically teach of selecting the formats and parameters before capturing the digital image. Kawamura et al. teach of changing the settings before capturing the image (See **Figure 10** in Kawamura et al.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate into Niikawa et al. the ability to change the settings of the parameters associated with the various formats before capturing an image, because this would have given the user more control in capturing an image to fit a required need.*

*With regard to **Claim 2**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. teach of a method in accordance with claim 1, further comprising:*

setting the operational parameters of the camera to the retrieved set of parameters (The choices can be made in association with the item/format selection by scrolling down to the respective item and pressing the R button on the crossed switch

35. This brings up a set of parameters associated with each format selection,

Paragraph 0110 of Niikawa et al.

Although Niikawa et al. do not specifically teach of selecting the formats and parameters before capturing the digital image, Kawamura et al. teach of changing the settings before capturing the image (See **Figure 10** in Kawamura et al.). Therefore, the operational parameters are set to (equal to) the retrieved set of parameters. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate into Niikawa et al. the ability to change the settings of the parameters associated with the various formats before capturing an image, because this would have given the user more control in capturing an image to fit a required need).

Regarding **Claim 3**, the references of Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. teach of a method in accordance with claim 2, further comprising:

capturing the digital image using said set of parameters

(The choices can be made in association with the item/format selection by scrolling down to the respective item and pressing the R button on the crossed switch

35. This brings up a set of parameters associated with each format selection,

Paragraph 0110 of Niikawa et al).

Although Niikawa et al. do not specifically teach of selecting the formats and parameters before capturing the digital image, Kawamura et al. teach of changing the settings before capturing the image (See **Figure 10** in Kawamura et al.). Therefore, the operational parameters are set to (equal to) the retrieved set of parameters and the digital image is captured using these set of parameters. It would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate into Niikawa et al. the ability to change the settings of the parameters associated with the various formats before capturing an image, because this would have given the user more control in capturing an image to fit a required need).

*With regard to **Claim 4**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. teach of a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein the set of parameters comprises resolution and compression level (Table 2 on Page 5 shows that the parameters (choices) for compression levels are under the item image quality, and the choices for the different resolutions are listed right below it, **Paragraph 0112 of Niikawa et al.**).*

*Regarding **Claim 5**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. teach of a method in accordance with claim 4, wherein providing comprises providing at least two format selections. The set of parameters of a first format selection includes a higher resolution setting than the set of parameters of a second format selection if the first format section (image quality) had it's parameter set on Fine and a second format section (resolution) was set to 1024 x 768. The Fine image quality has a resolution of 1600 x 1200, which is higher than 1024 x 768 (**Table 2 Page 5 of Niikawa et al.**).*

*In regard to **Claim 6**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. teach of a method in accordance with claim 4, wherein providing comprises providing at least two format selections. The set of parameters of a first format selection includes a higher resolution setting than the set of parameters of a second format selection if the first format section (image quality) had it's parameter set on Fine and a second format section (resolution) was set to 1024 x 768. The Fine image quality has a higher compression setting than*

Art Unit: 2622

the 1024 x 768 resolution, which corresponds to the uncompressed image quality
(**Table 2 Page 5 of Niikawa et al.**).

With regard to **Claim 7**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. teach of a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein at least one parameter of the set of parameters is selected from the group consisting of height resolution, width resolution, total resolution, compression level, color depth, stereoscopic toggle, black/white-color toggle, black/white grayscale level, and combinations thereof (Figures 13A to 15B which show camera status selection screens show's that the resolution is selected (in this case to be 1600 x 1200)).

Regarding **Claim 8**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. teach of a method in accordance with claim 1, wherein the set of parameters consists of resolution and compression level (Table 2 on Page 5 shows that the parameters (choices) for compression levels are under the item image quality, and the choices for the different resolutions are listed right below it, **Paragraph 0112 of Niikawa et al.**).

In regard to **Claim 9**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. teach of a method in accordance with claim 1, further comprising:

assigning a unique name to each of the format selections (Looking at Table 2 on Page 5 of Niikawa et al., it is shown that unique names are assigned to each of the format (item) selections).

Regarding **Claim 10**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. teach of a method in accordance with claim 1, further comprising:

*assigning a unique icon to each of the format selections (Underline L1 acts as an icon that can be assigned to each of the format selections, **See Figures 13A through 15B of Niikawa et al.**).*

*In regard to **Claim 11**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. teach of a method in accordance with claim 1, further comprising:*

assigning the parameters (choices) associated with a format (item) selection to default values (Looking at Table 2 on Page 5 of Niikawa et al., it is evident that default values are assigned to the choices associated with each item).

*With regard to **Claim 12**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. teach of a method in accordance with claim 1, further comprising:*

*modifying at least one parameter of a set of parameters associated with a format selection (The setting of the choices (parameters) can be changed/modified, **(Paragraph 0110 of Niikawa et al.)** by using the cross key pad 35 to scroll up or down and change the parameters associated with the item selections).*

*Regarding **Claim 14**, the Niikawa et al. reference teaches of a digital camera user interface (See Figure 8) comprising:*

*means for assigning at least one shortcut (flash, shooting, image quality, resolution etc... in **Table 2 on Page 5 under Item and Figures 12-15B of Niikawa et al.**) to a unique set of operational parameters (The different parameters (choices) are shown in Table 2 on Page 5 to the right of the Item column next to each format/item selection and under the column named Choices) of the digital camera; and*

*means for permitting a user to select the at least one shortcut (The different format (item) selections can be made by moving the crossed switch 35 up and down, **Paragraph 0109 of Niikawa et al.**).*

*However, Niikawa et al. do not teach or explicitly discuss assigning a shortcut to a unique set of parameters before capturing the digital image with the digital camera. Kawamura et al. teach of changing the settings before capturing the image (See **Figure 10** in Kawamura et al.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate into Niikawa et al. the ability to change the settings of the parameters associated with the various formats before capturing an image, because this would have given the user more control in capturing an image to fit a required need.*

*With regard to **Claim 15**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 14, wherein the operational parameters (choices) comprise resolution and compression level (Table 2 on Page 5 shows that the parameters (choices) for compression levels are under the item image quality, and the choices for the different resolutions are listed right below it, **Paragraph 0112 of Niikawa et al.**).*

*In regard to **Claim 16**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 15, wherein the at least one shortcut comprises at least two shortcuts, the set of parameters of a first shortcut including a higher resolution setting than that of the set of parameters of a second shortcut (The set of parameters of*

*a first shortcut selection includes a higher resolution setting than the set of parameters of a second shortcut selection if the first shortcut section (image quality) had it's parameter set on Fine and a second shortcut section (resolution) was set to 1024 x 768. The Fine image quality has a resolution of 1600 x 1200, which is higher than 1024 x 768, **Table 2 Page 5 of Niikawa et al.**).*

*With regard to **Claim 17**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 15, wherein the at least one shortcut comprises at least two shortcuts, the set of parameters of a first shortcut including a higher compression setting than that of the set of parameters of a second shortcut (The set of parameters of a first shortcut selection includes a higher resolution setting than the set of parameters of a second shortcut selection if the first shortcut section (image quality) had it's parameter set on Fine and a second shortcut section (resolution) was set to 1024 x 768. The Fine image quality has a higher compression setting than the 1024 x 768 resolution, which corresponds to the uncompressed image quality, **Table 2 Page 5 of Niikawa et al.**).*

*Regarding **Claim 18**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 14, further comprising:*

*means for changing at least one parameter of a set of parameters of at least one shortcut (The setting of the choices (parameters) can be changed/modified, **Paragraph 0110 of Niikawa et al.**).*

*In regard to **Claim 19**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 14, further comprising:*

*means for changing the settings of the digital camera to include the set of operational parameters (Pressing the menu button 34 on the digital camera provides a means for changing the settings by bringing up the set of operational parameters on the LCD display screen, **Paragraphs 0100-0101 of Niikawa et al.**).*

*With regard to **Claim 20**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 14, wherein the means for permitting further comprises:*

*means for bypassing the at least one shortcut (A shortcut (item) can be bypassed by moving up or down over the unwanted shortcut using the crossed switch 35, **Paragraph 0109 of Niikawa et al.**); and*

*means for permitting a user to directly select camera operational parameters (The choices can be made in association with the item/format selection by scrolling down to the respective item and pressing the R button on the crossed switch 35. This brings up a set of parameters associated with each format selection, **Paragraph 0110 of Niikawa et al.**).*

*Regarding **Claim 23**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 14, further comprising means for assigning the parameters associated with a shortcut to default values (Looking at Table 2 on Page 5 of Niikawa et al., it is evident that default values are assigned to the choices associated with each item).*

*In regard to **Claim 24**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 14, wherein at least one parameter of the set of*

parameters is selected from the group consisting of height resolution, width resolution, total resolution, compression level, color depth, stereoscopic toggle, black/white-color toggle, black/white greyscale level, and combinations thereof (Figures 13A to 15B of Niikawa et al., which show camera status selection screens show's that the resolution is selected (in this case to be 1600 x 1200)).

*In regard to **claim 25**, Niikawa et al. teach of a digital camera user interface comprising:*

*logic configured to assign at least one shortcut (flash, shooting, image quality, resolution etc... in **Table 2 on Page 5 under Item and Figures 12-15B of Niikawa et al.**) to a unique set of operational parameters (The different parameters (choices) are shown in Table 2 on Page 5 to the right of the Item column next to each format/item selection and under the column named Choices) of the digital camera; and*

*logic configured to permit a user to select the at least one shortcut (The different format (item) selections can be made by moving the crossed switch 35 up and down, **Paragraph 0109 of Niikawa et al.**).*

It is inherent that there is logic present in the camera to permit the user to select a list of choices (parameters) from the items (shortcuts).

*However, Niikawa et al. do not teach of setting the operational parameters before capturing a digital image with the digital camera. Kawamura et al. teach of changing the settings before capturing the image (See **Figure 10** in Kawamura et al.). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate*

into Niikawa et al. the ability to change the settings of the parameters associated with the various formats before capturing an image, because this would have given the user more control in capturing an image to fit a required need.

*Regarding **Claim 26**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 25, wherein the operational parameters comprise resolution and compression level (Table 2 on Page 5 shows that the parameters (choices) for compression levels are under the item image quality, and the choices for the different resolutions are listed right below it, **Paragraph 0112 of Niikawa et al.**).*

*With regard to **Claim 27**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 26, wherein the at least one shortcut comprises at least two shortcuts, the set of parameters of a first shortcut including a higher resolution setting than that of the set of parameters of a second shortcut (The set of parameters of a first shortcut selection includes a higher resolution setting than the set of parameters of a second shortcut selection if the first shortcut section (image quality) had it's parameter set on Fine and a second shortcut section (resolution) was set to 1024 x 768. The Fine image quality has a resolution of 1600 x 1200, which is higher than 1024 x 768, **Table 2 Page 5 of Niikawa et al.**).*

*In regard to **Claim 28**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 26, wherein the at least one shortcut comprises at least two shortcuts, the set of parameters of a first shortcut including a higher compression setting than that of the set of parameters of a second shortcut (The set of*

Art Unit: 2622

*parameters of a first shortcut selection includes a higher resolution setting than the set of parameters of a second shortcut selection if the first shortcut section (image quality) had it's parameter set on Fine and a second shortcut section (resolution) was set to 1024 x 768. The Fine image quality has a higher compression setting than the 1024 x 768 resolution, which corresponds to the uncompressed image quality, **Table 2 Page 5 of Niikawa et al.***

*In regard to **Claim 29**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 25, further comprising:*

*logic (inherently present) configured to change at least one parameter of a set of parameters of at least one shortcut (The setting of the choices (parameters) can be changed/modified, **Paragraph 0110 of Niikawa et al.**)*

*With regard to **Claim 30**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 29, further comprising:*

*logic (inherently present) configured to change the settings of the digital camera to include the set of operational parameters (Pressing the menu button 34 on the digital camera provides a means for changing the settings by bringing up the set of operational parameters on the LCD display screen, **Paragraphs 0100-0101 of Niikawa et al.**)*

*In regard to **Claim 31**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 25, wherein the logic configured to permit further comprises:*

*logic (inherently present) configured to bypass the at least one shortcut (A shortcut (item) can be bypassed by moving up or down over the unwanted shortcut using the crossed switch 35, **Paragraph 0109 of Niikawa et al.**); and*

*logic (inherently present) configured to permit a user to directly select camera operational parameters (The choices can be made in association with the item/format selection by scrolling down to the respective item and pressing the R button on the crossed switch 35. This brings up a set of parameters associated with each format selection, **Paragraph 0110 of Niikawa et al.**).*

*Regarding **Claim 34**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 25, further comprising logic (inherently present) configured to assign the parameters associated with a shortcut to default values (Looking at Table 2 on Page 5 of Niikawa et al., it is evident that default values are assigned to the choices associated with each item).*

*With regard to **Claim 35**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 25, wherein at least one parameter of the set of parameters is selected from the group consisting of height resolution, width resolution, total resolution, compression level, color depth, stereoscopic toggle, black/white-color toggle, black/white greyscale level, and combinations thereof (Figures 13A to 15B of Niikawa et al. which show camera status selection screens show's that the resolution is selected (in this case to be 1600 x 1200)).*

*In regard to **Claim 36**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a method in accordance with Claim 9, wherein the unique name indicates an intended usage of the*

digital image for which said retrieved set of parameters associated with the format selection is suitable (Looking at Table 2 on Page 5 of Niikawa et al., it is shown that unique names are assigned to each of the format (item) selections. The names can indicate an intended use. For instance, under the image quality format, the parameters "fine", "uncompressed" or "standard" can be selected according to an intended use for the image).

*Regarding **Claim 37**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 14, further comprising:*

assigning a unique name to at least one shortcut (Looking at Table 2 on Page 5 of Niikawa et al., it is shown that unique names are assigned to each of the format (item) selections);

wherein the unique name indicates an intended usage of a digital image for which said unique set of operational parameters is suitable (The names can indicate an intended use. For instance, under the image quality format, the parameters "fine", "uncompressed" or "standard" can be selected according to an intended use for the image).

*With regard to **Claim 38**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. disclose a user interface in accordance with claim 25, further comprising:*

assigning a unique name to said at least one shortcut (Looking at Table 2 on Page 5 of Niikawa et al., it is shown that unique names are assigned to each of the format (item) selections);

wherein the unique name indicates an intended usage of a digital image for which said unique set of operation parameters is suitable (The names can indicate an intended use. For instance, under the image quality format, the parameters "fine", "uncompressed" or "standard" can be selected according to an intended use for the image).

Claims 13,21-22 and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niikawa et al. (US Pub No.: 2002/0171747A1), Kawamura et al. (US Patent No.: 7092024B2) as applied to claims 1,14, and 25 above, and further in view of Terane et al. (US Patent No.: 6734909B1).

*In regard to **Claims 13,21-22 and 32-33**, Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. do not explicitly teach of generating a new format selection/shortcut while assigning operational parameters to the set shortcut. Although, the inventions do disclose generating a thumbnail 10f that is displayed at the same time as the setting information 10g (See Figure 8 of Niikawa et al.), they do not teach that the thumbnail can be used as a shortcut/new format selection that includes an associated set of parameters. Terane et al. teach of generating a thumbnail image and selecting the desired thumbnail image to display a full image, **Column 2, Lines 35-38 of Terane et al.** The thumbnail has associated parameters such as a default size that is one ninth the regular image captured, **Column 5, Lines 4-12 of Terane et al.** It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate into Niikawa et al. and Kawamura et al. a thumbnail that represented a new format selection with a set of*

associated parameters because a thumbnail usually represents the users preferred image and can give the user a quick means of accessing it.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pritham Prabhakher whose telephone number is 571-270-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-5:00) Alt Friday's Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571)272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pritham David Prabhakher
Patent Examiner
Pritham.Prabhakher@uspto.gov

Pritham D. Prabhakher



DAVID OMETZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER